INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

A CASE SERIES ON OUTCOME OF MESHPLASTY IN GROIN HERNIAS OPERATED IN EMERGENCY

General Surgery	J		
Dr. Yogeshkumar L Baraiya	3 rd Year Reside Ahmedabad	lent, Department of General Surgery, B.J. Medical College & Civil Hospit	tal,
Dr V. F. Chauhan*	Assistant Pro Hospital, Ahn	ofessor, Department of General Surgery, B.J. Medical College & Ci nedabad. *CorrespondingAuthor	vil
Dr. A. G. Shah	HOU, Depar Ahmedabad	rtment of General Surgery, B.J. Medical College & Civil Hospit	al,

ABSTRACT

AIM: to see the outcome of hernia repair by mesh placement in patients operated for groin hernia in emergency

OBJECTIVE: is to assess the feasibility of meshplasty in treating obstructed inguinal and femoral hernia.

METHODOLOGY: all the patients with groin hernias, operated in emergency, with meshplasty includes all the cases of inguinal and femoral hernias, whether - irreducible, obstructed or strangulated.

RESULT: groin hernia repair with meshplasty had good outcome as compared to old standard of no-meshplasty in emergency hernia surgery. **CONCLUSION:** Mesh repaire can be safely performed in obstructed/irreducible hernias.

KEYWORDS

Obstructed inguinal hernia, obstructed femoral hernia. Irreducible groin hernia.

INTRODUCTION:

Hernia is defined as an abnormal protrusion of a whole or part of viscous through a normal or abnormal aperture in wall of the containing cavity. All weak spots in the abdominal wall are potential sites for hernias. Groin hernias (inguinal) form the commonest subgroup. A minority of patients with a groin hernia present as an emergency, with a painful and irreducible mass or with intestinal obstruction and delay in presentation is known to result in high morbidity and mortality as well. In groin hernias, Inguinal hernia repair is one of the most common general surgical procedures . Inguinal hernias comprise 70% to 75% of all abdominal wall hernias and are more common in men . In 1984 Lichtenstein addressed the issue of tension by popularizing the routine use of mesh, coining the term 'tension-free hernioplasty'. Many suture-based hernia repairs have been described (e.g. Bassini and Shouldice) and in expert hands the Shouldice repair has equivalence to a mesh repair, but in a more general surgical practice it is associated with recurrence rates of up to 15%. A meta-analysis from the EU Hernia Trialists Collaboration compared mesh repair with sutured techniques . Recurrence was less common after a mesh repair (odds ratio 0.43, 95% confidence interval). Mesh implantation works by inducing progressive ingrowth of fibrous tissue that begins within two weeks and continues up to twelve weeks, giving strength to the weakened tissue. A repair using mesh is therefore always indicated unless there is a significant risk of mesh infection, such as the requirement for a bowel resection during a strangulated hernia repair. In recent years, Lichtenstein tension-free mesh-based repair has become the criterion standard for elective hernia repair . Numerous permanent meshes are available, with no convincing data establishing the superiority of any particular brand/mesh type. Most meshes, in particular the modern large-pore designs with minimal inflammatory stimulus are well tolerated in the tissues of majority of the patients, a few problems may still arise, these usually involve bacterial infection in contaminated areas or mesh migration with erosion of adjacent organs.

Among patients with inguinal hernia, about 5% - 15% undergo emergency surgery because of complications . The most frequently encountered complications are incarceration and strangulation. Incarcerated inguinal hernia (IIH) is one of the most frequent acute abdomen disorders, and most patients with IIH need emergency operation. The results from several meta-analyses have shown that the use of mesh is better to the non-mesh repairs in inguinal hernia surgery. In complicated hernias with obstruction, the use of mesh is presumed to further increase the risk of infections, but recent publications show that the mesh is safe and it does not increase infection risk . In the setting of bowel incarceration, if there is no is chemia and no need for resection, use of permanent mesh is still relatively safe .

The main aim of this study was to assess the feasibility of Lichtenstein



mesh hernioplasty in treating obstructed inguinal hernias. Primary outcome measures were post-operative wound site infection, seroma formation, sepsis and recurrence upto 1 year.



Fig. 1 : Obstructed femoral hernia



Fig. 2 : Part of the circumference of bowel as content



Fig. 3. Multiple air fluid level in case of obstructed femoral hernia

Material: This prospective non-randomised study was undertaken in the department of General Surgery, B. J. Medical College & Civil Hospital from August 2017 to September 2018. 46 patients underwent

Volume-8 | Issue-12 | December - 2019

emergency hernia repair from August 2017 to September 2018. Two patients had strangulated hernia and underwent bowel resection with primary tissue repair. 5 patients were lost to follow up. Thus, 39 patients were included in the study. All these patients underwent Lichtenstein mesh hernioplasty for obstructed inguinal hernia in emergency operating room under spinal anaesthesia. Patients received Amoxicillin-clavulanate antibiotic preoperatively and continued for 2-3 days post-operatively. All these patients were followed up for a period of 1 year (September 2019). Post-operative wound site infection, seroma formation, sepsis and recurrence within 1 year was observed. Prolene mesh was used in all cases.

RESULTS:

Our study population was predominantly males (38 cases) with only one female patient. Mean age of patients was 47.4 years with standard deviation of 8.1 years. Average postoperative hospital stay was 6 days (Range = 2-10 days). 2 patients (5.2%) developed seroma in the postoperative period which was managed by adequate drainage. 4 patients (10.25%) had culture proven wound site infection but responded well to local drainage and antibiotics.

DISCUSSION:

Inguinal hernias comprise 70% to 75% of all abdominal wall hernias and are more common in men, whereas femoral hernias account for less than 5% and are more common in women. Overall, 96% of groin hernias are inguinal and 4% are femoral. These hernias are more common in men. The lifetime risk of developing a groin hernia is 25% in men, but less than 5% in women. Men are also 20-fold more likely to need a hernia repair. Our findings are in concordance with the same in terms of male predominance. The literature data show that hernia incarceration occurs in 8% to 15% of patients with inguinal hernias . The mean age of those patients is usually above 60 years of age . In our study, we found that mean age is 47.4 years. The lower mean age can be due to early presentation and prompt intervention in our set up. Duration of hospital stay in our study was comparable to Shahbaz (14) Habib Faridi et al . Seroma formation was found to be 5.2% in our study and was treated with drainage and dressings alone. None required any further intervention. Shahbaz Habib Faridi et al noted incidence of 12.7% for seroma formation while Lorant Kiss et al found it to be 10%. Lorant Kiss et al found that incidence of wound infection is 10% in their study which is similar to our findings. These patients were managed with local wound care and culture directed antibiotics. An overall morbidity and complication rate in hernia repair seems to be multi-factorial whether primary or mesh repair is done. Mere presence of incarceration is not to be taken as a contraindication for mesh repair. In a systematic review by Dirk Weyhe et al based on 571,445 hernia repairs reported in 39 publications, they identifed the following potential risk factors: patient's age, diabetes, smoking, mode of admission (emergency vs. elective surgery), surgery in low resource settings, type of anesthesia, and (in men) bilateral and sliding hernias.

CONCLUSION:

We conclude that Lichtenstein Mesh Hernioplasty is a feasible and safe option for incarcerated inguinal hernia repair in emergency settings. However, patient selection and surgeon experience are important factors for the outcome.

REFERENCES:

- Kingsnorth A, LeBlanc K. Hernias: inguinal and incisional. Lancet 2003; 362(9395):1561-71. 1
- Dabbas N, Adams K, Pearson K, Royle GT. Frequency of abdominal wall hernias: is classical teaching out of date? JRSM Short Rep 2011;2(1):5. 2. 3.
- EU Hernia Trialists Collaboration. Repair of groin hernia with synthetic mesh: metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. Ann Surg 2002; 235: 322e32. Scott NW, McCormack K, Graham P, Go PM, Ross SJ, Grant AM. Open mesh versus
- 4. non-mesh for repair of femoral and inguinal hernia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2002;(4):CD002197.
- 5 Kingsnorth A. Commercial mesh versus Nylon mosquito net for hernia repair. World J Surg 2007;31:859.
- B. Kulah, I.H. Kulacoglu, M.T. Oruc, A.P. Duzgun, M. Moran, M.M. Ozmen, F. Coskur 6. Presentation and outcome of incarcerated external hernias in adults, Am. J. Surg. 181 (2) (2001) 101-104
- 7 Oida T. Kawasaki A. Mimatsu K. Kano H. Kuboi Y. Fukino N. Kida K. Amano S. Mesh Via I, Nawasaki A, Miniadu K, Kailo H, Kudo I, rukilo N, Kuda K, Aniaito S, Mesni vs. non-mesh repair for inguinal hernias in emergency operations. Hepatogastroenterology 2012;59(119):2112–4. J. Nieuwenhuizen, G. H. van Ramshorst, J. G. ten Brinke, T. de Wit, E. van der Harst, W.
- 8. C. J. Hop, J. Jeekel, and J. F. Lange. The use of mesh in acute hernia: frequency and outcome in 99 cases. Hernia 2011;15(3):297–300.
- Kark AE, Kurzer M. Groin hernias in women. Hernia 2008;12(3):267-70.1
- Ueda J, Nomura T, Sasaki J, Shigehara K, Yamahatsu K, Tani A, Shioda Y, Furukawa K, Uchida E. Prosthetic repair of an incarcerated groin hernia with small intestinal resection. Surg Today. 2012;42:359–62. Derici H, Unalp HR, Nazli O, Kamer E, Coskun M, Tansug T, Bozdag AD. Prosthetic 10
- 11. repair of incarcerated inguinal hernias: is it a reliable method? Langenbecks Arch Surg. 2010;395:575–9. [PubMed]

- Atila K, Guler S, Inal A, Sokmen S, Karademir S, Bora S. Prosthetic repair of acutely 12 incarcerated groin hernias: a prospective clinical observational cohort study. Langenbecks Arch Surg. 2010;395:563-8.
- Adesunkanmi AR, Badmos TA, Salako AA. Groin hernias in patients 50 years of age and 13. above pattern and outcome of management in 250 consecutive patients. West Afr J Med 2000:19:142-7
- Shahbaz Habib Faridi, Mohammad Aslam, Wasif Mohammad Ali, Bushra Siddiqui, Nadeem Mushtaque Ahmed. A Study of Mesh repair in emergency inguinal hernia surgery. Surg Chron 2016;21(1): 17-20.
- Lorant Kiss, Roland Kiss, Cristian Fletan, Oana Vaida, Veronica Stefan, Elena Adina Diaconu. Obstructed Inguinal Hernia: Lichtenstein's Mesh Versus Bassini's Repair 15. Schmedt CG, Sauerland S, Bittner R. Comparison of endoscopic procedures vs
- 16 Schnete CG, Saderand S, Butter K. Comparison of endoscopic procedures vs Lichtenstein and other open mesh techniques for im-guinal hermit repair: a metaanalysis of rand¬omized controlled trials. Surg Endosc. 2005;19:188-99. 17. Simons MP, Aufenacker T, Bay-Nielsen M. European Hernia Society guidelines on the treatment of inguinal herria in adult patients. Herria. 2009;13:343-403. Bader Hamza Shirah, Hamza Assad Shirah. Lichtenstein mesh herrioplasty for inguinal
- 18. hernias: simplicity is the ultimate sophistication. Int Surg J. 2016 Feb;3(1):230-236
- 19 Koning GG, Keus F, Koeslag L. Randomized clinical trial of chronic pain after the transinguinal preperitoneal technique compared with Lichtenstein's method for inguinal hernia repair. Br J Surg. 2012;99:1365-73. Solorzano CC, Minter RM, Childers TC, Kilkenny JW, Vauthey JN. Prospective
- 20. evaluation of the giant prosthetic reinforcement of the visceral sac for recurrent and complex bilateral inguinal hernias. Am J Surg 1999;177:19-22.
- A Grant EU. Hernia Trialists Collaboration. Mesh compared with non-mesh methods of open groin hernia repair: systemic review of randomized controlled trails. British J of Surg. 2000;87:854-9.
- Dirk Weyhe, Navid Tabriz, Bianca Sahlmann and Verena-Nicole Uslar. Risk factors for 22. perioperative complications in inguinal hernia repair - a systematic review. Innov Surg Sci 2017; 2(2): 47-52.