ORIGINAL RESEARCH PAPER

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

BED SIDE LEARNING COMPARED TO TRADITIONAL LECTURE CLASSES AMONG UNDERGRADUATE MBBS STUDENTS.

	al of	Sai	
John		6	
<u></u>			1
2	9	Δ.	R
ellin.	7		5
	1	Hore	

Dr Pradep Kumar Shenov

Medicine

Assistant Professor, Department Of Medicine, KMC, Mangalore.

Chandrasekhara

ABSTRACT

Medical Education is becoming more and more challenging as we get less number of patients to teach. It is a well-known fact that doing an activity by reading and understanding a subject will always be beneficial on a long basis. One more thing that has to be understood is the shortage of time for training the first year students, so more of interactive sessions should be incorporated in the system. Many authors have attempted this technique and have been successful in making this effort an enjoyable and memorable sessions. This study puts in an effort to understand the student's perspective in using this method in teaching and learning Bed side clinic and ignite a small interest in them so as to practise more of the same.

KEYWORDS

Bed side learning, traditional lecture

INTRODUCTION:

Medical Education is becoming more and more challenging as we get less number of patients to teach $\tilde{h}^{1,2}$. It is a well-known fact that doing an activity by reading and understanding a subject will always be beneficial on a long basis^{3,4}. One more thing that has to be understood is the shortage of time for training the first year students, so more of interactive sessions should be incorporated in the system. Many authors have attempted this technique and have been successful in making this effort an enjoyable and memorable sessions^{5,6}. This study puts in an effort to understand the student's perspective in using this method in teaching and learning Bed side clinic and ignite a small interest in them so as to practise more of the same. A sincere effort has also been put to understand the reproducibility by evaluating using structured questions at the end of each session and compare it with traditional methods of learning.

Research Question:

Bed Side clinic vs traditional class room

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

- Settings: This study will be done in the Department of Medicine, KMC, Mangalore
- Design: Interventional, Cross-over Design
- Subjects: I year MBBS Students.
- Sample Size: 100 students divided into 4 groups
- The study were done from Jan 2011 to March 2011 (3 Months duration)
- Tools: Questionnaire and OSPE
- Data Collection: OSPE score.
- Analysis: Statistical significance of comparison of two teaching learning methods will be obtained using unpaired t test.

Methodology:

After taking the written consent the students will be divided into 4 groups comprising of 25 students each by lottery method.

Group A, Group B, Group C and Group D

Group A and Group B will be taught using the bed side method and Group C and Group D will be taught with the traditional method.

At the end of sessions the perception of the students will be taken in the form of questionnaire using 10 questions.

The questions and parameters are as follows:

RESULTS:

Graph 1: Independent T Test To Compare Pre-test Scores Between The Two Groups



P Value Not Significant

Graph 2: Independent T Test To Compare Post-test Scores **Between The Two Groups Immediately After The Intervention** Mean



PValue<0.001

DISCUSSION:

In a study conducted by Komala Nanjundaiahet al⁷ the students had opined the Bed side method was far superior compared to the conventional methods of teaching. There was active participation, peer to peer learning, the sessions were enjoyed and interesting. The students also opined that they could practise this in their hostels as well. The traditional method in terms of retaining the knowledge and also the reproducibility.

Paul G.McMenamin² in their study introduced integrated Bed side with clinical skills teaching sessions in parts of respiratory, musculoskeletal and head and neck regional anatomy teachings and found that the students actively participated and with the aid of powerful visual images of underlying anatomy were able to perform better. Haylen Green et al⁸ conducted a pilot study on a qualitative assessment of student attitudes to the use of bed side as a learning tool in final year Medicine learning and came to a conclusion that there was no significant difference in the reported levels of enjoyment experience during body painting activities. Clinical Health Science students actually recommended this method as a teaching tool. Students recognised the benefits of the activity since it contained both kinaesthetic and visual learning.

CONCLUSION:

Bedside teaching is the clear cut winner and should be incorporated more in the curriculum.

REFERENCES:

- McMenamin PG. integration as a tool in the clinical anatomy teaching. Anatomical Sciences Education, 2008; 1(4): 139-44.
- 2. Ganguly PK, Chanz LK. The living anatomy in the 21st century: how far can we go? South East Asian Journal of Medical Education, 2008; 2(2): 52-57.
- Azer SA. Learning the surface anatomy: Which learning approach is effective in an integrated PBL curriculum? Medical Teacher, 2011; 33:78-80. 3.
- 4. 5.
- integrated PBL curreculum? Medical Teacher, 2011; 35:78-80.
 Pandey P, Zimiato. The medical students' learning of the human anatomy: memorisation, understanding and visualization: Medical education, Jan 2007; 41(1):7-14.
 Finn GM, Mclachlan JC. A qualitative study on the student responses to body painting. Anatomical Sciences Education, 201(3):33-38.
 Op Den Akker JW, Bohnen A, Oudegeest WJ, Hillen B, Giving color to a new curriculum:
- 6. Body painting as a tool in medical education: ClinAnat, 2002; 15(5):356-62

Volume-8 | Issue-10 | October - 2019

- KomalaNanjundaiah, Sheshgiri Chowdapurkar. Body Painting-A tool which can be used to teach Surface marking; Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research. 2012 October, Vol-6 (8): 1405-1408 Haylen Green, Manisha R Dayal. A qualitative assessment of student attitudes to the use of body painting as a learning tool in 1st year Human Anatomy:A Pilot Study. International Journal of Anatomy and Research 2018, Vol 6(2.1):5134-44 7.
- 8.